

**MINUTES OF EXTRA-ORDINARY MEETING OF WILLINGTON PARISH COUNCIL
MEETING HELD ON TUES 27.4.10 IN THE OLD SCHOOL, CASTLEWAY, WILLINGTON**

PRESENT: Mr K. England (Chair), Mr A. Bamford, Mrs C. Carter, Mr M. Coxon, Mrs F. Crocker, Mr J. Evans, Mr K. Overton, Mr K. Gibbs, Mrs L. Oakley. Mrs C. Gow (Clerk).

Dr K. Farrell. Mr E. Sutton & Mr A. Wendler (LSP)
Burton Daily Mail.

930 APOLOGIES:

C/Cllr M. Ford, D/Cllr A. Hood.

Mr K. Gibbs asked to be excused from the meeting from 7.30pm, to attend another meeting in an adjacent building, returning when it had finished.

931 DECLARATION OF INTEREST:

Mr Overton read out a statement concerning comments that had been made regarding his involvement with the South Derbyshire Volunteer Bureau. Copies of the statement were available to all present, including members of the public, and a copy is held on file.

There were no declarations of interest.

At 7.07pm the meeting closed to allow members of the public present to speak and provide constructive comments on the agenda item.

A parishioner asked if the developers had looked into any other land and the reasons why they were not going ahead. The parishioner was informed that other sites (14) had been fully appraised, details are on the Parish Council website.

A parishioner thought the whole proposal had been handled badly and had no confidence in the Parish Council representing the public in this issue, and that they represented the developers and the doctors.

A parishioner proposed a vote of no confidence in the Parish Council as they have not consulted the entire village, the same parishioner counted the votes from the members of the public present - 18 in favour and 3 against.

Mrs Crocker queried the number of parishioners in the village and believed it to be 3000-3500.

The Parish Council were asked if they intended holding a public meeting.

Mr Bamford explained what the Members had agreed at the last meeting, which included holding a public presentation if achievable requirements were agreed at the next Parish Council meeting.

It was pointed out that 95% of the questionnaires sent out by LSP were in favour of the new surgery. It was also pointed out that not all the 95% were Willington residents.

The demonstration held on the playing field was open to all, parishioners and non-parishioners.

The rally held in the Doctors Surgery car park was similarly open to all.

A parishioner asked if the new medical centre would be allowed to extend in future years.

It was stated that LSP information is irrelevant, being one-sided and that information on the Twyford Road playing field site was not available.

A parishioner thought the objectors wanted a referendum. Mr Bamford explained how the Parish Council had already contacted DALC and SDDC querying the procedure of holding a referendum and were informed that this is not recommended, or legally enforceable and as such SDDC may not be willing to hold a referendum.

A parishioner said the Members had made a decision, having been appointed to represent the village, if people were not happy with it, it was a pity they didn't take note when there was a vacancy on the Parish Council.

At 7.26pm Mr Gibbs left the meeting.

At 7.27pm the meeting re-opened and continued when Mr England formally invited Dr Farrell, Mr Wendler and Mr Sutton to participate in the meeting.

932 PROPOSED RE-PROVISION OF DOCTOR'S SURGERY – REQUIREMENTS OF NEW SURGERY

Mr Bamford outlined the Parish Council requirements detailed below:

1. That the land be leased not sold. Agreed by LSP.
2. That the District Valuer's report be revalidated. Agreed by LSP.
3. That other requirements should not alter the value, for example a new ball stop fence. LSP . The proposal was to be in accordance with the public purse. It is an indicative scheme only. For example the Calder's site was too expensive.
4. The Leasehold should not be transferred without the written consent of the Parish Council. LSP . restrictive covenant and planning control would cover this.
5. Reversion to fields at the end of a long lease. LSP - Tenants usually had the option to renew the lease, but it was possible. Quality materials would be used, promoting longevity in the building.
6. Pedestrian crossing and reduction in speed limit to 30mph. LSP . this would be a Highways decision and an abnormal cost to the project. The Planning/Highways process is still to be gone through and the scheme may be deemed unviable.
7. The car park to be shared with users of the Medical Centre and playing field. PCT would be paying the rent for it and there would need to be levels of guidance for surgery use. They would liaise with the Police and it would be secure by design, the architects were well versed in potential issues. There should be no big open space encouraging trouble.
8. The recycling centre could be incorporated if required. If it does stay there should be clarification on who pays for it.
9. Could the existing surgery on Repton Road be used for community/employment use. LSP . the GPs own the building and should not be fettered as to its future use. Dr Farrell said the site had planning permission for five bungalows when it was purchased in 1990. LSP said there was a flood risk to the property and it may be best to keep the building as it currently stands.
10. If timing allows, then selected excavated materials could be used from the surgery to the rear of the playing field to fill, compact and trim to extend the playing field. LSP . agreed.
11. Planning requirements to comply with PPG17. LSP . this would form part of the planning application.

12. WPC would like to have a say in the layout. LSP . agreed, no work had been done to date. Allowance would be made for residents, football pitch etc. Mr Coxon gave a brief history of the football pitches in Willington, explaining that Twyford Road playing field had been purchased for the purpose of providing a new home for the pitch. It was agreed that the playing field had turned into a recreation area and was now a multi-use area.

13. Request that the proposed surgery area be compared to the area of land to be acquired from RWEnpower at the rear of the playing field. LSP . The footprint for the surgery will be less than that to be acquired, including the car park it will be about the same.

14. Timescale. LSP from 27.4.10 to engaging all parties, approximately four months to submitting planning application. However, there may be other factors that could affect that time.

15. Condition of playing field to be restored to the condition it was in before works commenced. LSP . Video of dilapidations will be taken . returned in same condition.

16. Football pitch orientation and size to be unchanged. LSP . Size would fulfil FA dimensions.

17. Ball stop fence required.

18. Storage building may need to be moved. LSP . This must be included in the planning application.

19. Moving the access. LSP . This must satisfy Highways. On-costs to project must be watched.

20. Public FP No. 4 . boundary treatment required.

21. Potential expansion . one bite of the cherry only. LSP . Designed in such a way that the building will flex in the future. Area in base design with steel frame.

22. Maintain hedgerow and trees. LSP . agreed.

23. Provision of outdoor multi-exercise equipment. LSP . PCT may provide this if it is required. Dr Farrell . community help required for facilities. PCT will fight to keep to a minimum. Patient Participation group may look at this. Multi use rooms will be required.

24. Provision of alternative green sites. LSP . Would find this very difficult. WPC/RWEnpower are amenable to providing green space and LSP have found RWEnpower very approachable.

25. Marking out proposed area on the playing field. LSP . not willing to do this at this stage as it would probably change (AW). It may be possible, may help some people (ES).

26. PCT deadline . 6 months from 26.2.10. Exchange of contracts required by that date. Dr Farrell said the PCT Board had met today and would not confirm one way or the other.

933 EXEMPTIONS - Nil.

The Chair thanked everyone for attending.

Meeting closed at 8.27pm.

õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ õ ..

(Chairman)